The second secon

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

SECRETARY OF LABOR WASHINGTON. D.C.

In the Matter of

NANCY COOMER

V.

CINCINNATI, ETA, OHIO, and CINCINNATI ZOO

CINCINNATI ZOO

COMBRE

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

On January 16, 1986, I remanded this case to the Office of Administrative Law Judges of this Department for further proceedings and a recommended decision in the light of the earlier remand order of the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit in Cincinnati, ETA, Ohio; Cincinnati Zoo v. Nancy Coomer; Secretary of Labor: United States Department of Labor (No. 84-3361).

On June 10, 1986, Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Charles W. Campbell of this Department issued a "Recommended Decision" in which he (1) found "reasonable ... in view of the Court's order and the other circumstances of this case" a written "Stipulation and Settlement Agreement" between the City of Cincinnati and this Department's Employment and Training Administration Grant Officer in Chicago, Illinois; and (2) recommended that the "Stipulation and Settlement Agreement" be accepted and that this proceeding be dismissed. Copies of the ALJ's "Recommended Decision" and of the "Stipulation and Settlement Agreement" are appended to this order.

-1.4

سين سميمين بيعه والمنصوبية أكون برائي الريارات

Upon consideration of the remand order of the **court** of appeals, the record, and the "Stipulation and Settlement Agreement," I accept the **ALJ's** recommended decision. Accordingly, this case IS DISMISSED.

SO ORDERED.

Million E. Much Secretary of Labor

The second secon

Dated: SEP 18 1986 Washington, D.C.

ECO C 1 # 860008720

U.S. Department of Labor

Office of Administrative Law Judges 304A U.S. Post Office and Courthouse Cincinnati, Ohio 45202.



(513) 684-3252

In the matter of

NANCY COOMER

Date Issued:

JUN 1 0 1986

Case No. 81-CETA-256

CINCINNATI ETA, OHIO, AND CINCINNATI ZOO

RECOMMENDED DECISION

On January 16, 1986 the Secretary of Labor remanded the above-entitled matter to the office of Administrative Law Judges for further proceedings and a recommended decision in the light of the order, filed July 16, 1985, of the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit in Cincinnati ETA, Ohio; Cincinnati zoo v. Nancy Coomer; Secretary of Labor; United States Department of Labor (No. 84-3361).

On May 20, 1986 the parties to this case entered a written "Stipulation and Settlement Agreement" in which it was agreed that the City of Cincinnati pay the sum of \$4,632.74 to the Employment and Training Administratron, United States Department of Labor, and that the remaining-amount originally in controversy was "allowed," i.e., no longer claimed by the Department of Labor. The parties further agreed to the dismissal of this proceeding. A copy of the stipulation is attached to this recommended decision.

I find that the stipulation entered by the parties is a reasonable one in view of the Court's order and the other circumstances of the case.

Accordingly, it is my recommended decision that the aforesaid stipulation of the parties be accepted and that this proceeding be dismissed.

Charles W. Campbell

Administrative-Law Judge

Cet. # P262 106 553

<u>C</u>.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

In the Matter of:

NANCY COOMER,

vs.

Case No. 81-CETA-256

CINCINNATI ETA, OHIO, AND CINCINNATI 200.

STIPULATION AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

The Grant Officer and the City of Cincinnati hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

- 1. On April 21, 1981, the Grant Officer issued a final determination, with respect to CETA Complaint No. 79-CETA-5-321-C;
- 2. The April 21, 1981 final determination issued by the Grant Officer disallowed costs representing wages and fringe benefits paid to twelve (12) program participants. The disallowance was based upon the allegation that the aforementioned twelve (12) participants were ineligible;
- 3. 'The City of Cincinnati (hereinafter Prime Sponsor) 'filed an exception to the Grant Officer's final determination. The Prime Sponsor's exception requested an administrative hearing with regard to the Grant Officer's ineligibility findings;
- 4. An administrative hearing was waived by the parties and the parties filed briefs with the administrative law judge on the issues in the instant case;
- 5. On December 2, 1983, a Decision and Preliminary Order was issued by the Office of Administrative Law Judges. The December 2, 1983 Decision held that the Prime Sponsor was to pay the disallowed monies-to-the Department of Labor and ordered the Prime Sponsor to submit "an accurate account of the wages and benefits" paid to each of the twelve program participants;

- 6. On January 6, 1984 the Prime Sponsor submitted information that \$111,659.69 was paid to the twelve program participants;
- 7. On February 14, 1984 a Decision and Order was issued by the administrative law judge which ordered the Prime Sponsor to pay \$111,659.59 to the U.S. Department of Labor;
- 8. The Prime Sponsor appealed the Administrative Law Judge's December 2, 1983 and February 14, 1984 Decision and Orders to the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit;
- 9. On July 16, 1985 the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals issued a Decision finding (1) that a contract between the Ohio Bureau of Employment Services (OBES) and the City of Cincinnati absolved the City of Cincinnati of liability for wages and fringe benefits associated with eleven (11) participants and (2) that a twelfth participant's wages and fringe benefits were not allowable as there was no record of that participant's employment with the prime sponsor;
- 10. The Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals also remanded the case to the office of Administrative Law Judges to consider the issue of whether or not the City of Cincinnati OBES contract was described in the City of Cincinnati's approved Grant;
- 11. As a result of, the aforementioned Decision and Orders and a review of the Title VI Grant, the parties agree that the City of Cincinnati OBES contract was sufficient to be judged consistent with the provisions of 29 C.F.R. §99.43(c)(3);
- 12. Based upon the foregoing, the parties agree that \$107,026.85 is allowed in this case. The terms of the allowance are set forth in the Exhibit A attachment to this agreement. The Exhibit A attachment is hereby incorporated into this stipulation and settlement agreement by reference;
- 13. The parties hereby agree to resolve the remaining debt by payment of \$4,632.74 by the Prime Sponsor to the Employment and Training Administration, United States Department of Labor;

- 14. The parties agree to the dismissal of this proceeding and that the Order of Dismissal shall have the same force and effect as an order made after full hearing;
- 15. The Order of Dismissal in this case shall be based solely on the administrative determination and this agreement;
- 16. The parties waive their right to any further procedural steps before the Administrative Law Judge and the right to contest the validity of any order issued in accordance with this agreement.

Dated:	Mrs	. ع _ح ج .	८९ ४८,	, 1986.

MELVIN J. HOWARD

Grant Officer

Employment and Training Administration

U.S. Department of Labor 230 South Dearborn Street

Chicago, Illinois 60.604

and Training Administration

MAY 05

RICHARD A. CASTELLINI

City Solicitor

Room 214, City Hall

Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
Telephone: (513) 352-3334



ALJ Case No.: 81-CETA-256 Grantee: City of Cincinnati Final F&D dated April 21, 1981 in which a debt amount was established at: \$111,659.69

STIPULATED AGREEMENT

The referenced ALJ Case concerns findings which surfaced during an ETA investigation of a CETA complaint (No. 79-CETA-5-321-C). The findings indicated that twelve (12) individuals in the City of Cincinnati Public Service Employment (PSE) program did not meet appropriate eligibility criteria under Title VI of the Act. The disallowed costs consist of the total wages and fringe benefits paid to the questioned individuals.

It was the City of Cincinnati's contention that they were not liable for costs associated with these ineligible participants because their eligibility certifications were processed by the Ohio Bureau of Employment Services (OBES) under a contract with the City. In Title 29 C.F.R. 99.43(c)(3), .CETA grantees are not held responsible for costs associated with ineligible participants which were certified through agreements with State Employment Security agencies (SESAs). Such agreements were to be described in an approved grant. The original basis for dispute was ETA's contention that the agreement with OBES was not described in the Cincinnati Title VI grant.

A review of the Cincinnati Title VI grant found that the grantee had'submitted several modification requests which included the description of its contract with OBES. Although ETA correspondence to the grantee indicated, some concerns with the OBES agreement, it has been determined that the arrangement was sufficient to be consistent with the provisions of 29 C.F.R. 99.43(c)(3). The costs associated with the ineligible participants, with one (1) exception, are allowed.

The exception concerns a participant who, according to the investigation' findings, performed no CETA related work. The costs pertaining to the wages and fringe benefits of that individual remain disallowed and subject to debt collection.

Costs Disallowed in Final F&D: \$111,659.59
Costs Allowed per Stipulation: 107,026.85
Costs Disallowed: 4,632.74
Balance of Costs Subject to
Debt Collection: 4,632.74

SERVICE SHEET

Re: NANCY COOMER V. CINCINNATI ETA, OHIO AND CINCINNATI ZOO

Case No. 81-CETA-256

Title of Document: RECOMMENDED DECISION

Copies of the above documents were sent to the following:

CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURNED RECEIPT REQUESTED

Associate Solicitor for Employment and Training U.S. Dept. of Labor Room N-2101, FPB 200 Constitution Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20210

Melvin J. Howard Grant Officer Employment & Training Admin. U.S. Dept. of Labor 230 S. Dearborn St. Chicago, IL 60604

Marcella Thompson, Esq. Office of the Solicitor 1240 E. 9th St., Rm. 881 Cleveland, OH 44199

'David O. Williams,
Administrator
Office of Program & Fiscal
Integrity ...
601 D Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20213

Linda Kontnier Chief, Debt Collection 601 D Street, N.W., Rm. 8400 Washington, D.C. 20213

Edward Maruska, Director Cincinnati Zoo 3400 Vine **st.** Cincinnati, OH 45220

Nancy Coomer 2360 Auburn Ave., Apt. 5 Cincinnati, OH 45219

Gary Lewis, Esq. Assistant City Solicitor 801 Plum St., Rm. 214 Cincinnati, OH 45202 Director, Employment & Training Division The City of Cincinnati 700 E. McMillan Cincinnati, OH 45206

Hon. William E. Brock Secretary of Labor U.S. Dept. of Labor 200 Constitution Ave., N.W. Room N-2414 Washington, D.C. 20210

> <u> 310a A. Fuenisk</u> 6-10-86

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Case Name: Nancy Coomer v. Cincinnati, ETA, Ohio, and

Cincinnati Zoo,

Case No.: 81-CETA-256

Document: Order of Dismissal

A copy of the above-referenced document was sent to the

following persons on September 18, 1986 .

Kathleen Gorham

يجاره يجاحان والعاليم فالمهالين مساميها مي

CERTIFIED MAIL

Associate Solicitor for Employment and Training U.S. Department of Labor Room N-2101, FPB 200 Constitution Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20210

Grant Officer
Employment and Training Admin.
U.S. Department of Labor
230 S. Dearborn Street
Chicago, IL 60604

Marcella Thompson, Esq. Office of the Solicitor 1240 E. 9th St., Rm. 881 Cleveland, OH 44199

David O. Williams
Administrator
Office of Program & Fiscal
Integrity
601 D Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20213

Linda Kontnier Chief, Debt Collection 601 D Street, N.W., Rm. 8400 Washington, D.C. 20213

and the contract of the state o

Edward Maruska, Director Cincinnati Zoo 3400 Vine Street Cincinnati, OH 45220

Nancy Coomer 2360 Auburn Ave., Apt. 5 Cincinnati, OH 45219

William M. Gustavson, Esq. Assistant City Solicitor 801 Plum St., Rm., 214 Cincinnati, OH 45202

Director, Employment & Training Division
The City of Cincinnati
700 E. McMillan
Cincinnati, OH 45206

Hon. Charles W. Campbell Administrative Law Judge U.S. Department of Labor Office of Administrative Law Judges 304A U.S. Post Office and Courthouse Cincinnati, OH 45202

Hon. Nahum Litt Chief Judge U.S. Department of Labor Office of Administrative Law Judges 1111 20th Street, N.W., Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20036